Approved For Release 2003/03/28 CM RDP80B01495R000800140005-4

25X1A



16 September 1975

1. As far as I know the Agency has not been directly involved in the current debate on the 200-mile fisheries bill now before Congress. We have, however, indirectly participated in decisions on this topic made by the NSC Interagency Task Force on the Law of the Sea. This includes reviewing and giving concurrence on statements made by Task Force members to Congressional committees, and policy recommendation papers to the White House. Our concurrence on this topic has always been explicitly limited to foreign reaction to such a unilateral fisheries claim. The most recent example of such Agency action was our response on 1 July to request for greater White House involvement in opposing Congressional movement toward a 200-mile fisheries bill (See	
in the current debate on the 200-mile fisheries bill now before Congress. We have, however, indirectly participated in decisions on this topic made by the NSC Interagency Task Force on the Law of the Sea. This includes reviewing and giving concurrence on statements made by Task Force members to Congressional committees, and policy recommendation papers to the White House. Our concurrence on this topic has always been explicitly limited to foreign reaction to such a unilateral fisheries claim. The most recent example of such Agency action was our response on 1 July to request for greater White House involvement in opposing Congressional movement toward a 200-mile fisheries bill (See memo to DDI, 25X1A	
	25X
2. In late August OGCR produced a Working Paper titled <u>Law of the Sea Negotiations: Geneva and Beyond</u> in which we discuss the pros and cons of unilateral claims on the international law of the sea negotiations. Copies of this paper, as well as its condensation in the NID, went to the Congress.	
25X1A Geography Division, OGCR	

25X1